

## LECTURES 3-4: YANG BAXTER ALGEBRAS IN THE CONVOLUTION ALGEBRA

### 1. PARTIAL FLAG VARIETIES

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_m(N)$  the set of partitions of  $N$  with  $m$  parts. Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_m(N)$  be a partition and  $X_\lambda$  be the appropriate partial flag variety. Its points are given by flags

$$F_e = (0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_{m-1} \subset F_m = \mathbb{C}^N)$$

such that  $\dim(F_i + 1/F_i) = \lambda_i$ . There are  $m$  natural complex vector bundles  $M_1, \dots, M_m$  over  $X_\lambda$  of the ranks  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  respectively.

The action of the torus  $T$  on  $X_\lambda$  has a finite set of fixed points  $(X_\lambda)^T \subset X_\lambda$ . To describe them explicitly let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$  be the standard basis in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Let

$$F_e = (0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_{m-1} \subset F_m = \mathbb{C}^N)$$

be the flag with  $F_i$  being the subspace spanned by  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{\mu_i}\}$ , where

$$\mu_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j.$$

Then  $F_e$  is fixed under the action of  $T$ . The symmetric group  $S_N$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  by permuting the elements of the standard basis, so it acts on the set of all flags. For all  $\sigma \in S_N$ ,  $F_\sigma := \sigma(F_e)$  belongs to  $(X_\lambda)^T$  and in such a way we get all fixed points. The stabiliser of  $F_e$  coincides with the Young subgroup  $S_\lambda$ , so the mapping  $\sigma \rightarrow F_\sigma$  induces a bijection between  $S/S_\lambda$  and  $(X_\lambda)^T$ .

Another way to parametrise the fixed points is to identify them with the set of maps  $\pi_w : [N] \rightarrow [m]$ , where  $[k]$  is the set  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ . For  $w \in S/S_\lambda$  we denote by the same symbol  $w$  the corresponding fixed point.

### 2. COHOMOLOGY

Fixed points for us will be a way to introduce the cohomology of flag varieties, namely the  $T$  equivariant cohomology. Here is "the definition" of the algebra of cohomology:

**Definition 2.1.**  $H_T(\cdot)$  is a contravariant functor from the category of "nice" algebraic varieties with a  $T$  action equivariant maps to the category of algebras over  $S := \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ .  $H^*(pt) = S$ .

The above definition implies that for every  $T$  fixed point  $x \in X$  the embedding  $x \rightarrow X$  induces an  $S$  module map  $H_T X \rightarrow H_T(x) = S$ .

**Example 2.2.** Suppose that  $\alpha \in \text{Func}(\Lambda(n, N), H_T^*(pt))$ . Call  $\alpha$  a class if it satisfies the following Goresky-Kottwitz-MacPherson (GKM) conditions: For each pair  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}' \in \Lambda(n, N)$  differing only in places  $i$  and  $j$  (or equivalently, with  $\mathbf{w}' = (i \leftrightarrow j)\mathbf{w}$ ), the difference  $\alpha(\mathbf{w}) - \alpha(\mathbf{w}')$  should be a multiple of  $t_i - t_j$ .

The tangent space  $T_w := T(X_\lambda)$  at  $w$  inherits the  $T$ -action; we will be interested in its Euler class,  $\mathcal{E}_w \in H_T^{2d_\lambda}(pt)$ , where  $d_\lambda$  is the complex dimension of  $X_\lambda$ . The explicit formula is as follows. Let  $\pi_w : [N] \rightarrow [m]$  be the map corresponding to  $w$ . Then

$$\mathcal{E}_w = \prod_{i>j} \prod_{a \in \pi^{-1}(i), b \in \pi^{-1}(j)} (t_a - t_b)$$

Let  $i_w$  denote the inclusion  $i_w : w \rightarrow X_\lambda$  of the fixed point. It is compatible with the  $T$ -action. Define the elements  $y_w \in H^{2d_\lambda}(X_\lambda)$  as  $(i_w)_*(1)$ . The explicit formula for them is as follows. For each  $i \in [m]$  let  $y_{ij}, j \in [\lambda_i]$ , denote the Chern roots of  $M_i$  the formal symbols such that  $c_j(M_i) = \sigma_j(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{i\lambda_i})$ , the  $j$ th elementary symmetric function.

Let  $\pi_w$  be as above. Then

$$y_w = \prod_{i>j} \prod_{a=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{b \in \pi^{-1}(j)} (y_{ia} - t_b)$$

Here is the main property of these elements which characterises them:

$$(i_w)^* y_{w'} = \mathcal{E}_w \delta_{w'}^w.$$

The restriction map  $i_w^*$  acts as follows: if

$$\pi_w^{-1}(i) = \{k_1, \dots, k_{\lambda_i}\}$$

with  $k_1 < \dots < k_{\lambda_i}$  then

$$(i_w)^*(y_{ij}) = t_{k_j}.$$

The composition  $(i_w)^*(i_w)_*$  equals the multiplication by  $\mathcal{E}_w$ .

### 3. SUBALGEBRAS IN THE CONVOLUTION ALGEBRA

Here we will be dealing with the partial flag varieties defined by the elements of  $\mathcal{P}_2(N)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_3(N)$ . These are the Grassmanians  $Gr(n; N)$  and the three step flag varieties  $Gr(n, n+1; N)$ .

Introduce the following space

$$G(N) := \prod_{n=0}^N Gr(n, N).$$

It carries a natural action of the group  $Gl(n)$  and therefore of its maximal torus  $T$ . The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Gr(n, n+1; N) & \\ \swarrow \pi_1 & & \searrow \pi_2 \\ Gr(n; N) & & Gr(n+1; N) \end{array} \quad \boxed{1}$$

defines the standard convolution operators in the  $H_T^* Gr(n, N)$

$$b_n(z) = (\pi_2)_*((\pi_1)^*(z)), \quad c_n(z) = (\pi_1)_*((\pi_2)^*(z))$$

and therefore of the space  $H_T^* G(N)$ .

Consider the operators on  $H_T^* G(N)$  defined by the multiplication with the Chern classes of the tautological vector bundles  $\mathcal{T}_n$  of rank  $n$  over  $Gr(n; N)$  and the quotient vector bundle  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  of rank  $k$  over  $Gr(n; N)$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ .

Introduce the following generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_n(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i c_i(\mathcal{Q}_k) x^{k-i}, & \mathcal{A}'_n(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^k c_i(\mathcal{T}_k) x^{k-i} \\ \mathcal{B}_n(x) &= \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(x) b_n, & \mathcal{B}'_n(x) &= b_n \mathcal{A}'_n(x) \\ \mathcal{C}_n(x) &= c_n \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(x), & \mathcal{C}'_n(x) &= \mathcal{A}'_n(x) c_n \\ \mathcal{D}_n(x) &= c_n \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(x) b_n, & \mathcal{D}'_n(x) &= b_n \mathcal{A}'_n(x) c_n \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following subalgebras of our convolution algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ :

- $YB_N$ : The subalgebra generated by the coefficients of the polynomials  $\mathcal{A}_n(x), \mathcal{B}_n(x), \mathcal{C}_n(x), \mathcal{D}_n(x)$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ .
- $YB'_N$ : The subalgebra generated by the coefficients of the polynomials  $\mathcal{A}'_n(x), \mathcal{B}'_n(x), \mathcal{C}'_n(x), \mathcal{D}'_n(x)$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ .

We will work with the algebra  $YB_N$  from now. The algebra  $YB'$  can be treated similarly.

#### 4. YANG BAXTER ALGEBRAS AGAIN

**Theorem 4.1.**  $YB_N$  is Yang Baxter algebras defined above with the  $R$  matrices and the operators  $L(x, t)$  from Lectures 1-2.

*Proof.* We will prove the statement for the algebra  $YB$  by checking all the relations between the generators listed below

$$\begin{aligned} A(x)B(y) &= A(y)B(x), & C(x)A(y) &= C(y)A(x), \\ B(x)A(y) - B(y)A(x) &= (y-x)A(x)B(y) \\ A(x)C(y) - A(y)C(x) &= (x-y)C(y)A(x) \\ (x-y)C(x)B(y) &= A(x)D(y) - A(y)D(x) \\ B(x)C(y) - B(y)C(x) &= (x-y)(D(x)A(y) - A(y)D(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x)B(y) &= D(y)B(x), & C(x)D(y) &= C(y)D(x) \\
B(x)D(y) &= (x-y)D(x)B(y) + B(y)D(x) \\
D(x)C(y) &= (y-x)C(y)D(x) + D(y)C(x),
\end{aligned}$$

in the fixed point basis of  $H_T^*G(N)$ . This will be a standard fixed point calculation which goes back to the work of Atiyah and Bott. We will use the following convenient form of their result from [?]. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be compact oriented manifolds on which  $T$  acts, and  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a  $T$ -equivariant map, then we have a commutative diagram:

**Theorem 4.2.** [?]. For  $a \in H_T(M)$ ,

$$(f)_* a = (i_N^*)^{-1} f_*^T \left( \frac{(f^T)^* e_N}{e_M} i_N^* a \right) \quad \boxed{\text{lian}}$$

where the push-forward and restriction maps are in localized equivariant cohomology and  $e_N$  and  $e_M$  are the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of  $N_T$  and  $M_T$ .

A fixed point of the  $T$  action in  $Gr(n; N)$  is represented, as explained above, by a splitting of the set  $[N]$  into two subsets,  $\mathbf{w} \subset [N]$  of cardinality  $n$  and its complement  $C(\mathbf{w}) := [N] \setminus \mathbf{w}$  of cardinality  $k = N - n$ . We denote such a fixed point by the symbol  $\mathbf{w}$ .

A fixed point of the  $T$  action in  $Gr(n, n+1; N)$  is represented by a splitting of  $[N]$  into three subsets of cardinalities  $n, 1, N - n - 1$ . Such a fixed point maps to  $\mathbf{w}$  above under  $\pi_1$  if in the splitting the first subset of cardinality  $n$  is exactly  $\mathbf{w}$ . If the subset of cardinality one is  $\{l\}$ ,  $l \in C(\mathbf{w})$  we will denote such a point by  $\mathbf{w}_l$ .

A fixed point of the  $T$  action in  $Gr(n+1; N)$  to which  $\mathbf{w}_l$  maps is represented by a splitting of  $[N]$  into two subsets:  $\mathbf{w} \cup l$  of cardinality  $n+1$  and its complement in  $[N]$ .

We have identified earlier the local Euler classes at such fixed points:

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{\mathbf{w} \cup l} &= \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} \prod_{i \in (\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (t_j - t_i) \\
e_{\mathbf{w}_l} &= \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} \prod_{i \in \mathbf{w} \cup l} (t_l - t_b)(t_j - t_i)(t_j - t_l)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the ratio of these Euler classes which goes into the formula in ?? for the operator  $(p_2)_*$  is

$$\frac{e_{\mathbf{w} \cup l}}{e_{\mathbf{w}_l}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \mathbf{w}} (t_l - t_j)}$$

Similarly the local denominator for the operator  $(p_1)_*$  is

$$\frac{e_{\mathbf{w}}}{e_{\mathbf{w}_l}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (t_j - t_l)}$$

Recall that the restriction of the Chern class  $c_i(Q_n)$  to the fixed point  $\mathbf{w}$  is equal to  $i$ th elementary symmetric function  $\sigma_i$  in  $k$  variables calculated at  $t_m$ ,  $m \in C(\mathbf{w})$ , because  $t_m$  is the restriction of the Chern root  $y_m$  of  $Q_n$  to  $\mathbf{w}$  as explained above. Likewise the restriction of the Chern class  $c_i(T_n)$  to the fixed point  $\mathbf{w}$  is equal to  $i$ th elementary symmetric function  $\sigma_i$  in  $n$  variables calculated at  $t_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{w}$ , because  $t_m$  is the restriction of the Chern root  $y_m$  of  $T_n$  to  $\mathbf{w}$ .

Now it is a routine exercise to calculate the matrices of the generators of the algebra  $YB_N$  in the fixed point basis.

In this basis the operators  $A(x)$  and  $A'(x)$  are represented by diagonal matrices with the eigenvalue

$$A(x)(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w})} (x - t_j)$$

$$A'(\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{j \in \mathbf{w}} (x - t_j)$$

The matrix of the operator  $B(x)$  can be calculated as follows: if  $\mathbf{w} \subset [N]$ , defines a  $T$  fixed point in  $Gr(n; N)$ , then

$$(\pi_1)^{-1}\mathbf{w} = \sum_{l \in C(\mathbf{w})} \mathbf{w}_l$$

Therefore combining it with the above calculation of  $(\pi_2)_*\mathbf{w}_l$  we obtain that in the basis of the fixed points the map  $(\pi_2)_*(\pi_1)^*$  is given by the matrix with the matrix entry  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \setminus l)$  equal to

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \mathbf{w}} (t_l - t_j)}$$

Putting it together

$$B(x)(\mathbf{w}) = (\pi_2)_*\left(\sum_i c_i(Q)x^{k-i}(\pi_1)^*\right)(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{l \in C(\mathbf{w})} \frac{\prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (x - t_i)}{\prod_{j \in \mathbf{w}} (t_l - t_j)} \mathbf{w}_l$$

The matrices of the operators  $C(x)$  and  $D(x)$  can be obtained easily in the similar way.

We are ready to prove the statement of the theorem.

We split the relations into the three groups for a reason. The fixed point calculation for the equations in each group is similar.

In the first group each identity contains the composition of a diagonal matrix  $A$  and either  $B$  or  $C$  operator. Consider the identities with  $A$  and  $B$  operators only. These compositions send a fixed point  $\mathbf{w}$  to the union of fixed points of the form  $\mathbf{w} \cup l$ ,  $l \in C(\mathbf{w})$ . It is easy to see that the denominators of the corresponding matrix entries on the both sides are equal, so it is enough to calculate the numerators of a matrix coefficients  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \cup l)$  in the both sides and show that they are equal. For the first identity

$$A(x)B(y) = A(y)B(x)$$

we obtain

$$\prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (x - t_i)(y - t_i) \text{ and } \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (y - t_i)(x - t_i),$$

hence the identity is proved.

Calculating the numerators of a matrix coefficients  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \cup l)$  in the both sides of

$$B(x)A(y) - B(y)A(x) = (y - x)A(x)B(y)$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (y - t_j)(x - t_i) - \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w})} \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (x - t_j)(y - t_i) = \\ (y - x) \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w}) \cup l} (y - t_i)(x - t_i) \end{aligned}$$

In the second group of relations involve the compositions of  $B$ ,  $C$  and  $A$ ,  $D$ . Such composition sends a fixed point  $\mathbf{w}$  to  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \cup l \setminus d)$ ,  $l \in C(\mathbf{w})$ . The denominares of same matrix coefficients on both sides are equal again, so we need to compare the numerators only. Consider the matrix coefficient  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w} \cup l \setminus d)$  in

$$(x - y)C(x)B(y) = A(x)D(y) - A(y)D(x)$$

Calculating the numerators of that matrix coefficients we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x - y) \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (x - t_i)(y - t_i) = \\ \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l \setminus d)} \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (x - t_j)(y - t_i) - \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l \setminus d)} \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (y - t_j)(x - t_i) \end{aligned}$$

The lefthand side of

$$B(x)C(y) - B(y)C(x) = (x - y)(D(x)A(y) - A(y)D(x))$$

at the fixed point  $(\mathbf{w} \cup l, \mathbf{w} \cup d)$  has the numerator

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup d)} \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (x - t_j)(y - t_i) - \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup d)} \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (y - t_j)(x - t_i) = \\ ((x - t_l)(y - t_d) - (y - t_l)(x - t_d)) \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l) \setminus d} (x - t_j)(y - t_j) = \\ (x - y)(t_l - t_d) \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l) \setminus d} (x - t_j)(y - t_j) \end{aligned}$$

The righthand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l \setminus d)} \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l)} (x - t_j)(y - t_i) - \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l \setminus d)} \prod_{i \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l) \setminus d} (y - t_i)(x - t_j) = \\ (t_l - t_d) \prod_{j \in C(\mathbf{w} \cup l \setminus d)} (x - t_j)(y - t_j) \end{aligned}$$

Finally the last group of the relations. Consider the first relation

$$D(x)B(y) = D(y)B(x)$$

The operators from this identity add two elements to the set representing the fixed point and then removes one from the resulting set. Specifically let  $\mathfrak{w}$  be a fixed point. The operator  $B$  adds an element  $l \in C(\mathfrak{w})$  to  $\mathfrak{w}$ . The operator  $D$  adds further an element  $d \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup l)$  to  $\mathfrak{w} \cup l$  and removes some  $p$  from  $\mathfrak{w} \cup \{l \cup d\}$ . Denote the result  $\mathfrak{w}(l, d, p) := \mathfrak{w} \cup \{l \cup d\} \setminus p$ . Let us calculate the matrix coefficient  $(\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}(l, d, p))$  of the composition  $DB$ . There is two ways to get from  $\mathfrak{w}$  to  $\mathfrak{w}(l, d, p)$ , first add  $l$  to  $\mathfrak{w}$  then add  $d$  and remove  $p$ , or add  $d$  to  $\mathfrak{w}$  and then add  $l$  and remove  $p$ . Therefore this matrix coefficient will be a sum of two summands. The denominators of these summands differ by a sign, because  $l$  and  $d$  are added to  $\mathfrak{w}(l, d, p)$  in a different order so the sum is in fact a differences of two fractions with the same demoninators. Calculating the numerators on the both sides of the first identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup \{l, d\})} \prod_{i \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup l)} (x - t_j)(y - t_i) - \prod_{j \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup \{d, l\})} \prod_{i \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup d)} (x - t_i)(y - t_j) = \\ & (t_d - t_p) \prod_{j \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup \{l, d\})} (x - t_j)(y - t_j) = \\ & \prod_{j \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup \{l, d\})} \prod_{i \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup l)} (y - t_j)(x - t_i) - \prod_{j \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup \{d, l\})} \prod_{i \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup d)} (y - t_i)(x - t_j) \end{aligned}$$

The last two relations to prove are

$$\begin{aligned} B(x)D(y) &= (x - y)D(x)B(y) + B(y)D(x) \\ D(x)C(y) &= (y - x)C(y)D(x) + D(y)C(x), \end{aligned}$$

Above we have calculated the matrix coefficient  $(\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}(l, d, p))$  of the composition  $D(x)B(y)$ . Now we calculate this coefficient for the composition

$$B(x)D(y) - B(y)D(x)$$

We need to calculate the numerator only.

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup \{l, d\} \setminus p)} \prod_{i \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup l)} (x - t_j)(y - t_i) - \prod_{j \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup \{l, d\} \setminus p)} \prod_{i \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup l)} (y - t_j)(x - t_i) = \\ & ((x - t_d)(y - t_p) - (y - t_d)(x - t_p)) \prod_{j \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup \{l, d\})} (x - t_j)(y - t_j) = \\ & (x - y)(t_d - t_p) \prod_{j \in C(\mathfrak{w} \cup \{l, d\})} (x - t_j)(y - t_j) \end{aligned}$$

The the identities involving the operators  $C$  and  $D$  can be proved simirlaly.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- Vasserot** [1] E. Vasserot, Affine quantum groups and equivariant K-theory, Transform. Groups 3 (1998), no. 3, 269299.
- Lian** [2] B. Lian, K. Liu, S.-T. Yau, Mirror principle II, Surveys Differ. Geom. 5 (1999) 455509.